Preventing neonatal fungal infections

David Isaacs

Children's Hospital at Westmead University of Sydney, Australia









7th World Congress of the WORLD SOCIETY FOR PEDIATRIC INFECTIOUS DISEASES (WSPID)

Melbourne, Australia, November 16-20, 2011



Congress Organizers

1-3 Rue de Chartspoulet, POBox 1728, CH-1211 General 1 Switzerland Tet + 41 22 908 0488; Fax:+ 41 22 906 9140; E-mail: wapid@lenes.com Veb: www.kenes.com/wepid

© Known infers alls and 2008. Alls lights resourced

www.kenes.com/wspid

WSPID 2011



۲



Invasive fungal infection in neonates

- Incidence highest in smallest infants
- Diagnosed late
- High mortality
- Rising incidence

Invasive fungal infection in neonates

CLINICAL FEATURES









<u>Age at culture diagnosis</u>: median 15 days (range 1- 90)



Haematological

- Neutropenia common
- Commonest cause of neutropenia is IUGR
- For any septic neutropenic baby, bacterial sepsis more likely than fungal

Risk factors

- Broad spectrum antibiotics
- Prolonged parenteral nutrition
- Central venous catheters
- Prolonged endotracheal intubation
- H2 blockers
- Fungal colonisation (especially heavy)





Broad spectrum antibiotics

- Cotton CM et al, Pediatrics 2006; 118: 717-22
- NICHHD study
- 284 babies with invasive candidiasis
- Third generation cephalosporin exposure: RR 2.2 (95% CI 1.4-3.3)

Early enteral feeding

Rapid enteral feeds (median <12.5 days) or

Slow enteral feeds (median >12.5 days)

 Reduced incidence of late-onset bacterial sepsis in babies fed earlier:

14.0% vs 20.4% P=0.002

- No data on fungal infections
- Fewer central lines

Invasive fungal infection in neonates

INCIDENCE

<u>ASGNI</u>

Prospective, multi-center study of systemic sepsis in Australasian neonates

23 hospitals contributed since 1992

Invasive fungal infection

Positive blood +/- CSF culture (or raised CSF WBC) Babies <1500g 1993 - 2006

15 hospitals - median 7.5 per year (range 4 - 11)

Invasive fungal infection by birth weight: ASGNI, 1993-2006





Incidence of fungal infection

<u><1500g</u>:

118 of 14,788 = 0.82% (95% Cl 0.66-0.95%)

<u><1000g:</u>

106 of 5,968 = 2.02% (95% Cl 1.92-2.12%)

International studies

Country	Year	Definition	Birth weight	Incidence
USA ¹	1998 - 2001	Blood & CSF	<1000g	7.7%
Italy ²	2004 - 2005	Blood & CSF	<1500g	9.4%
UK ³	2003	Blood, CSF, urine + others	<1500g <1000g	1.0% 2.1%
Australia + NZ ⁴	1993 - 2006	Blood & CSF	<1500g <1000g	0.8% 2.0%

¹Benjamin, 2006 ²Manzoni, 2007 ³Clerihew, 2005 ⁴ASGNI, 2008

Species variation



Invasive fungal infection in neonates

ANTIFUNGAL PROPHYLAXIS

Which prophylaxis?

Fluconazole

Amphotericin

Oral nystatin

Fluconazole prophylaxis

- Azole antifungal: well absorbed
- Given (oral or IV) for
 - 30 days to babies <1500g
 - 45 days to babies <1000g
- Selective chemoprophylaxis:
 - -<1500g if on broad spectrum antibiotics >3days
 - third generation cephalosporin
 - Colonised with Candida

Randomised placebo controlled trials of fluconazole: invasive fungal infection

	Fluconazole	Placebo	TOTAL
Infected	10 (3.1%)	30 (14.2%)	40
Not infected	315	181	496
TOTAL	325	211	536

Relative risk 0.23 (95% CI 0.11, 0.46)

Randomised placebo controlled trials of fluconazole: death from all causes

	Fluconazole	Placebo	TOTAL
Died	27 (8.5%)	30 (14.6%)	57
Survived	292	176	468
TOTAL	319	206	525

Relative risk 0.61 (95% CI: 0.37, 1.03)

Evidence regarding fluconazole

- Fluconazole prevents fungal infections
- Trend to saving lives
- High incidence: NNT <1500g = 8 (5-20)
- Low incidence: NNT = 125 (or 45 < 1000g)

Fluconazole: safety concerns

Hepatotoxic

May induce resistance

Selection of non-albicans Candida

Amphotericin B

Much more toxic

Much more expensive



Oral nystatin

- Polyene antifungal
- Not absorbed from GI tract
- Reduces colonisation
- Given orally 1mL 8-hourly until well

Nystatin prophylaxis

[Sims ME et al, Am J Perinatol 1988; 5: 33-36]

- Babies <1250g
- Oral nystatin 100,000U in 1 mL, 8-hourly

33 treated: 4 colonised (12%); 2 sepsis (6%)

34 control:15 colonised (44%);11 sepsis (32%)

<u>ASGNI, 1993-2006</u> Oral nystatin prophylaxis				
[Howell A et al. ADC(F&N) 2009; 94: F429-33.]				
Incidence <1500g	<u>Incidence <1000g</u>			
Yes 0.54%	Yes 1.23%			
No 1.23%	No 2.67%			
P<0.0001	P<0.0001			

Three hospitals changed policy

Incidence <1500g Incidence <1000g

Yes 0.69% Yes 1.23%

No 1.13% No 3.25%

P>0.05 P<0.005

Meta-analysis Invasive fungal infections <1500g

- Fluconazole (6.6%) vs. placebo (16.6%)
 RR = 0.37 (95% CI 0.24-0.56)
- Oral nystatin (5.3%) vs. placebo (32.9%)
 RR = 0.16 (0.11-0.23)
- Fluconazole (4.1%) vs. oral nystatin (7.3%) RR = 0.56 (95% CI 0.20-1.60)

Conclusions

- Reduce broad spectrum antibiotic use
- Reduce third generation cephalosporin use
- Early enteral feeds, catheters out, less TPN
- Antifungal prophylaxis is effective: use it
- Nystatin may be as good as fluconazole
- Use nystatin when incidence low or cost an issue

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

<u>ASGNI</u>

- C Barfield, D Bouchier, G Bury, I Bucens,
- A Ruben, D Cartwright, T Clothier, J Ehrlich,
- F Morey, A Daley, B Darlow, S Fraser, L Gilbert,
- K Grimwood, P McDougall, J Royle,
- D Henderson-Smart, H Jeffery, D Isaacs, R Kohan,
- A McPhee, R Messer, C Minutillo, D Tudehope,
- J Whitehall



